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1. The birth of Ordoliberalism in the deepest crisis 1938-1945 (1)

Whereas the Americans had a decisive influence on the *West German currency reform of June 20, 1948*, and thus on a key aspect of the long-term growth process, their influence on the predominant conception in economic policy after 1945, the social market economy, however, was quite minor. On the contrary, the fundamental ideas of Ordoliberalism, above all those of the Freiburg School under Walter Eucken, originated in the years 1938-1945 in opposition to National Socialism and on the basis of Christian convictions.
1. The birth of Ordoliberalism in the deepest crisis 1938-1945 (2)

- The German conceptions of *Ordnungspolitik* and *Soziale Marktwirtschaft* are a response to the challenges of
  - the Great Depression
  - national socialism and
  - central planning in soviet-type socialism.
- After 1929 economic and political liberalism was in its deepest crisis.
Walter Eucken (1891-1950) (1)

- Born 17 January 1891 in Jena (his father Rudolf was philosopher and Nobel prize winner in literature)
- PhD in Bonn 1913 and habilitation in Berlin 1921 with Hermann Schumacher (father of Ernst F. Schumacher, the author of “Small is beautiful”)
- 1920 marriage with Edith Erdsiek
Walter Eucken (1891-1950) (3)

1925 Professor, University of Tübingen
since 1927  Professor University of Freiburg
          Eucken’s most outstanding student: Friedrich Lutz
1933 Opponent to Martin Heidegger the philosopher and rector
1940 Die Grundlagen der Nationalökonomie (Foundations of Economics), Eucken’s opus magnum
9 November 1938 Reichskristallnacht (Night of the broken glasses)
          The Nazi pogrom against the Jews leads to the foundation of the Freiburger Konzil
1942 co-operation with the Bekennende Kirche (Dietrich Bonhoeffer),
          i.e. those members of the protestant church who were in opposition against the Nazi system
1942 Essay “Wettbewerb als Grundprinzip der Wirtschaftsverfassung”
          (Competition as a fundamental principle of the economic constitution)
1923 “Critical considerations of the German monetary problem”
Against the background of the German hyperinflation Eucken distanced himself from the research tradition of the German historical school in which he had grown up, and put forward a penetrating criticism of the balance-of-payments theory. The primacy of monetary (and currency) stability later became a key constituent principle in German Ordoliberalism, alongside the fundamental principle of the economic constitution, that is, the functioning price mechanism of perfect competition – the “Freiburg Imperative” – deeply affecting the monetary policies of the Bundesbank.
• **US American trauma**
  *Great Depression, with mass unemployment and deflation*

• **German trauma**
  - *Hyperinflation* in Germany and Austria after WWI leading to a currency reform at the end of 1923, far-reaching expropriation of money wealth owners
  - historical background of the writings of *Mises* and *Hayek*
  - atypical statement: “*better 5% inflation than 5% unemployment*” (Helmut Schmidt 1972):

• **Trauma**: morbid condition of the body produced by a wound or injury – (psychology:) emotional shock
The Freiburg Circles

2. Walter Eucken and the Freiburg School (1)

20 July 1944 attempt to kill Hitler
   → Eucken interrogated by the Gestapo, but in contrast to Constantin von Dietze, Adolf Lampe and Gerhard Ritter not put into prison.

Eucken (1940), “Wissenschaft im Stile Schmollers”
(Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv)
In this article Eucken criticizes the historical blindness of an exaggerated idea of progress which animates to make the present (1940!) to the measure of historical development and Schmoller’s statement that it is the right of the stronger and better to celebrate victory. Reference to great crimes in history (Bartholomäus night) and radical differences between power and ethical values.
2. Walter Eucken and the Freiburg School (2)

- **Constituent principles:**
  - Fundamental principle of the economic constitution: functioning price mechanism of full competition ("Freiburg Imperative")
  - Primacy of monetary (and currency) stability
  - Free market entry (open markets)
  - Private property(*)
  - Freedom of contract
  - Full liability (2010/11: higher own capital quota for banks)
  - Consistency of economic policy (against discretionary interventionism)

(*) “Private property may lead to grievances, collective property must do so.“ (1952: 317). Rejection of socialism and a centrally planned economy.
2. Walter Eucken and the Freiburg School (3)

• **Regulating Principles:**
  - Monopoly controls
  - Income policy
  - Corrections of external effects
  - Corrections of abnormal supply behaviour. In the case of an increase in labour supply induced by a reduction of wages, Eucken recommended *setting a minimal wage*.

• In the competitive order Eucken conceived, the *state* plays a substantially stronger role than in Hayek (who came from Chicago to Freiburg in 1961). This is particularly visible in the *regulating principles*. Full competition requires a strong democratic state who has primacy over the economy. It is evident that Eucken stands in the German tradition of *Staatswissenschaften*. 
2. Walter Eucken and the Freiburg School (4)

Fundamental principle:
Functioning price system of perfect competition

- primacy of monetary and currency stability
- open markets
- private property
- freedom of contracts
- liability
- consistency of economic policy
- income policy

Protection against anomalies of supply side behaviour

Economic calculation

Source: Goldschmidt (2008).
2. Walter Eucken and the Freiburg School (5)

since 1947 economic policy adviser of Ludwig Erhard

1947 Invitation by Hayek to the constituting meeting of the Mont Pelerin Society

1947 Report “Konzernentflechtung und Konzernauflösung”

1948 new journal ORDO – yearbook for the order of economy and society (together with Franz Böhm)

20 March 1950 Eucken dies during a lecture series at the LSE

1952 *Grundsätze der Wirtschaftspolitik* (Foundations of economic policy)
3. Ludwig Erhard: the father of the “Wirtschaftswunder” (1)

Ludwig Erhard (1897-1977)
3. Ludwig Erhard: the father of the “Wirtschaftswunder” (2)

4 February 1897 born in Fürth (near Nuremberg; also hometown of Henry Kissinger)

1929 PhD with Franz Oppenheimer, Goethe University Frankfurt

1942 lost his position as Director/Editor, Institute for final goods, Nuremberg

1944 War financing and debt consolidation

October 1945 Minister of Economics, Bavaria

20 May 1946 Colm-Dodge-Goldsmith plan for the liquidation of war financing and the financial rehabilitation of Germany / key role of Gerhard Colm (!)

1947 Honorary professor, University of Munich
Chairman “Sonderstelle Geld und Kredit” (Money and Credit)
Secret group of experts to prepare the currency reform
3. Ludwig Erhard: the father of the “Wirtschaftswunder” (3)

March 1948 *Wirtschaftsrat* (Committee of economic experts elected from the members of the German regional parliaments) nominates Erhard as the Director “Verwaltung für Wirtschaft des Vereinigten Wirtschaftsgebietes”

20 June 1948 Currency reform in West Germany fundamental *internal* liberalisation in the trilateral (American/British/French) zone

23 May 1949 *Grundgesetz* (new democratic German constitution)

Erhard is elected member of the first German *Bundestag* (constituency Ulm/Heidenheim)

20 September 1949 to 1963 Minister of Economics

16 October 1963 Erhard succeeds Konrad Adenauer as Bundeskanzler (Chancellor)

1 December 1966 Resignation in the German recession

1st Grand Coalition forms the new government:

Willy Brandt, Vice Chancellor and Minister for Foreign Affairs

Karl Schiller, Minister of Economics
3. Ludwig Erhard: the father of the “Wirtschaftswunder” (4)

23 May 1949 The German *Grundgesetz* does not fix a concrete economic order. However, in Art. 14 it guarantees private property and mentions the social responsibility deriving therefrom. Art. 20,1 refers to the *Sozialstaatsprinzip*, i.e. the obligation of the state to form a social order.

1958 *Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen* (law against restrictions of competition)

1961 *Außenwirtschaftsgesetz*
external liberalisation as a legal norm since Germany’s entry into the European Economic Union in 1958 domestic firms are in competition with firms from European member countries
3. Ludwig Erhard: the father of the “Wirtschaftswunder” (5)

- 1957 *Wohlstand für Alle* (Welfare for everybody)
- Conviction that a market policy should always be adapted to the existing social relations
- Social market economy as a goal of economic order
  A market economy in which *monetary/price stability* and *full employment* co-exist!
- Discussion
  “Vater des deutschen Wirtschaftswunders” (father of the German economic miracle)
  versus
  *Reconstruction period*
Alfred Müller-Armack (1901-1978)
4. Alfred Müller-Armack and the genesis of “Soziale Marktwirtschaft” (2)

28 June 1901 born in Essen (father director at Krupp)
1923 PhD
1926 Habilitation, University of Cologne
    “Economic Theory of Cultural Policy”
1932 *Economic laws of capitalism*
1938-50 University of Münster
    Professor for Economics and cultural sociology
1950 Professor and director, Institute of Economic Policy,
    University of Cologne
1952 Director, Dept. 1 – Economic Policy, German Ministry of Economics
1958 Secretary for European Affairs
1963 With Erhard becoming Chancellor, Müller-Armack resigned from his role in the Ministry of Economics
4. Alfred Müller-Armack and the genesis of “Soziale Marktwirtschaft” (3)

1941 *Genealogie der Wirtschaftsstile*
Of great importance for the genesis of the concept of the *social market economy*. Müller-Armack regards the economic process as a complement to the cultural and ethical development of societies. Openness of the competitive order for social questions

1946/47 *Wirtschaftslenkung und Marktwirtschaft*
The first work in which the concept of a social market economy which is based on ethical values is developed. Fundamental values of *liberty* and *social justice* as maxims.
The Theory of the Social Market Economy was developed as a synthesis of liberalism, Christian social doctrines and a liberal socialism (“freiheitlicher Sozialismus”). It was regarded as a “midway” between capitalism (which shortly after WWII was still discredited by the Great Depression) and socialism. Müller-Armack: “It would be a calamituous error to give the task of creating a final social order to the automatism of the market”

vs. Hayek: “A social market economy is a socialist market economy!”

1974 Genealogie der Sozialen Marktwirtschaft
Synthesis of the foundations and concepts of the social market economy

- **Theory vs. Policy**
- **Theory**: early dominance of the neoclassical synthesis (until today) central role of Erich Schneider (who had done his habilitation with Schumpeter at Bonn)
- **Policy**: early dominance of Ordoliberalism (social market economy) Keynesianism came relatively late in international comparison (Karl Schiller 1966) and lasted less than a decade (1974/5 switch to supply side policies)

At German universities the **Keynesianism of the Hicks–Samuelson neoclassical synthesis** had become the dominant position since the late 1950s. This can largely be attributed to **Erich Schneider**, whose three-volume Introduction into Economic Theory, originally published in 1946–52, became the dominant textbook in the 1950s and 1960s, going through many editions. Schneider became Professor in Aarhus in 1936, came back from Denmark in 1946 to become professor in Kiel, where he also directed the Institute of World Economics from 1961–9 (when he was succeeded by Herbert Giersch). From 1963 to 1966 Schneider was **chairman of the Verein für Socialpolitik**, which had been re-founded in 1948. When the influential **Theoretical Committee** was re-established shortly afterwards, Schneider exercised his power as chairman in the direction of a more mathematically oriented approach, which at the beginning had to overcome strong resistance (Schefold, 2004).
Until the recession of 1966–7, however, economic policy was still dominated by ordoliberal ideas. As a consequence, Ludwig Erhard, who had been a successful Minister of Economics from 1949 to 1963, lost his job as Chancellor in December 1966, when the first ‘Grand Coalition’ of Christian and Social Democrats was formed. With the Social Democrats' entry into government and the ratification of the Stability and Growth Act in June 1967, Keynesianism gained a relatively late admission into Germany. According to Article 1 of the Act, the federal and state governments have to respect the requirement of macroeconomic equilibrium in their economic and financial policy measures which have to be taken in a way that they contribute, within the scope of a market economy, to simultaneously achieve stability of the price level, a high level of employment, and external equilibrium together with steady and appropriate growth.

These four macroeconomic goals appeared in the statutes of the German Council of Economic Advisers (CEA), which was founded in August 1963 and from autumn 1964 presented its annual report. The German council differs from the American in being an external and independent committee for policy consultation rather than part of the government.
In the public eye Karl Schiller's term of office as economics minister from the end of 1966 to the summer 1972 is remembered as the heyday of Keynesian economic policy in Germany. This is due to Schiller's remarkable ability to coin phrases such as ‘Globalsteuerung’ (‘macroeconomic demand management’), and his charismatic interpretation of economic policy which contributed to a widespread belief in the government's management power of macro variables, before the first oil price shock and the new phenomenon of stagflation shook that confidence. However, it should not be overlooked that Schiller had always followed a synthesis of Keynesianism and ordoliberal ideas. This is expressed most clearly in his influential article on economic policy in the Handwörterbuch der Sozialwissenschaften (Handbook of Social Sciences) (Schiller, 1962), in which he formulated his famous credo: ‘competition to the extent possible, planning to the extent necessary’, with ‘planning’ understood in the sense of Keynesian demand management.
6. The high years of Keynesianism 1967-1974 (3)

Through his homage to *Eucken*, that is, in *supplementing process policy with Ordnungspolitik*, Keynesian policies took on a distinctly German tinge. This came against the background of discrediting the interventionist policies of the Nazi period, policies that were being pursued in Stalinist East Germany, and the need to safeguard the market economy against *Marxist policies* that were finally given up by the Social Democratic Party (SPD) only in its *Godesberg programme adopted in 1959*. In line with Giersch and the majority of the CEA, Schiller also advocated flexible exchange rates in the final years of the Bretton Woods system and in debates in the German 1969 election campaign when currency flexibility was heavily opposed by the Christian Democrats and the German export industry. The strong revaluation of the Deutschmark thereafter contributed to a dampening of inflation in Germany.
**Balance of payments of the Federal Republic of Germany*, 1978-2011**  
(in million DEM up until 1998, in million EUR since 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Current Account Balance</th>
<th>Capital Account Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>+ 18,034</td>
<td>+ 5,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>- 10,096</td>
<td>+ 9,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>- 24,250</td>
<td>+ 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>- 7,195</td>
<td>+ 7,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>+ 14,305</td>
<td>+ 2,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>+ 14,410</td>
<td>- 17,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>+ 30,627</td>
<td>- 36,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>+ 54,226</td>
<td>- 53,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>+ 88,214</td>
<td>- 76,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>+ 83,864</td>
<td>- 40,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>+ 92,585</td>
<td>- 122,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>+ 104,112</td>
<td>- 110,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990(^1)</td>
<td>+ 73,021</td>
<td>- 89,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>- 40,182</td>
<td>+ 12,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>- 35,438</td>
<td>+ 16,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>- 31,450</td>
<td>+ 43,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>- 49,418</td>
<td>+ 60,708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>- 42,363</td>
<td>+ 50,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>- 21,086</td>
<td>+ 24,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>- 17,336</td>
<td>+ 6,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>- 28,696</td>
<td>+ 25,683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) high interest rate

Demand pull

(in million DEM up until 1998, in million EUR since 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>current account balance</th>
<th>capital account balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>- 25,834</td>
<td>- 10,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>- 35,459</td>
<td>+ 34,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>- 12</td>
<td>- 11,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>+ 42,669</td>
<td>- 38,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>+ 40,525</td>
<td>- 61,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>+ 102,368</td>
<td>- 122,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>+ 112,591</td>
<td>- 129,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>+ 144,739</td>
<td>- 175,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>+ 180,914</td>
<td>- 210,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>+ 153,633</td>
<td>- 173,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>+ 140,558</td>
<td>- 155,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>+ 150,668</td>
<td>- 147,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>+ 147,652</td>
<td>- 161,937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Including the former GDR since July 1990.

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank, Monatsbericht Juni 2012
(in million DEM up until 1998, in million EUR since 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>current account balance</th>
<th>foreign trade</th>
<th>services</th>
<th>income</th>
<th>current transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>+ 104 112</td>
<td>+ 130 469</td>
<td>- 18 445</td>
<td>+ 26 872</td>
<td>- 34 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>+ 73 021</td>
<td>+ 101 549</td>
<td>- 26 118</td>
<td>+ 32 859</td>
<td>- 35 269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>- 40 182</td>
<td>+ 19 095</td>
<td>- 30 724</td>
<td>+ 29 260</td>
<td>- 57 812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>- 35 438</td>
<td>+ 32 230</td>
<td>- 44 983</td>
<td>+ 28 481</td>
<td>- 51 167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>- 31 450</td>
<td>+ 57 187</td>
<td>- 52 549</td>
<td>+ 19 095</td>
<td>- 55 083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>- 49 418</td>
<td>+ 70 444</td>
<td>- 62 803</td>
<td>+ 2 393</td>
<td>- 59 451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>- 42 363</td>
<td>+ 81 109</td>
<td>- 63 985</td>
<td>- 3 975</td>
<td>- 55 413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>- 21 086</td>
<td>+ 93 597</td>
<td>- 64 743</td>
<td>+ 1 052</td>
<td>- 50 991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>- 17 336</td>
<td>+ 108 592</td>
<td>- 68 692</td>
<td>- 4 740</td>
<td>- 52 496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>- 28 695</td>
<td>+ 118 053</td>
<td>- 75 053</td>
<td>- 18 635</td>
<td>- 53 061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(in million DEM up until 1998, in million EUR since 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>current account balance</th>
<th>foreign trade</th>
<th>services</th>
<th>income</th>
<th>current transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>- 25 834</td>
<td>+ 57 058</td>
<td>- 46 035</td>
<td>- 12 457</td>
<td>- 24 401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>- 35 459</td>
<td>+ 50 057</td>
<td>- 49 006</td>
<td>- 8 670</td>
<td>- 27 840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>- 12</td>
<td>+ 88 075</td>
<td>- 49 862</td>
<td>- 11 533</td>
<td>- 26 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>+ 42 669</td>
<td>+ 124 236</td>
<td>- 35 728</td>
<td>- 18 888</td>
<td>- 26 951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>+ 40 525</td>
<td>+ 118 773</td>
<td>- 34 506</td>
<td>- 15 677</td>
<td>- 28 064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>+ 102 368</td>
<td>+ 139 626</td>
<td>- 29 375</td>
<td>+ 19 681</td>
<td>- 27 564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>+ 112 591</td>
<td>+ 144 122</td>
<td>- 27 401</td>
<td>+ 24 391</td>
<td>- 28 522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>+ 144 739</td>
<td>+ 146 160</td>
<td>- 17 346</td>
<td>+ 44 460</td>
<td>- 28 536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>+ 180 914</td>
<td>+ 185 532</td>
<td>- 14 852</td>
<td>+ 42 918</td>
<td>-32 685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>+ 153 633</td>
<td>+ 164 669</td>
<td>- 10 258</td>
<td>+ 32 379</td>
<td>- 33 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>+ 140 558</td>
<td>+ 123 645</td>
<td>- 8 049</td>
<td>+ 58 120</td>
<td>- 33 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>+ 150 668</td>
<td>+ 143 250</td>
<td>- 4 258</td>
<td>+ 49 864</td>
<td>- 38 187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>+ 147 652</td>
<td>+ 139 232</td>
<td>- 6 494</td>
<td>+ 48 415</td>
<td>- 33 501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Including the former GDR since July 1990.

Source: Deutsche Bundesbank, Monatsbericht Juni 2012
6. Unit labour costs in the euro area, 2000 = 100

Source: IMK Report 71/2012